



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Repko asserted that claims 11 and 13 are directed to non-statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they recite an abstract rather than a practical application of an idea. Specifically, the method as recited in claims 11 and 13 in the amendment filed 5/23/2006 failed to use or make available for use the result of the "pixel value" computations to enable its functionality and usefulness to be realized. Applicant's representative suggested adding the language "generating a display controlling electronic output from the pixel value" to claims 11 and 13, and authorized the Examiner's amendment adding said language to the claims. .